# Computer algebra algorithms for solving polynomial systems, software and applications

Thibaut Verron

Johannes Kepler University, Institute for Algebra, Linz, Austria

## Non-linear modelization and computer algebra



## Non-linear modelization and computer algebra



## Non-linear modelization and computer algebra



## Generic and structured systems

Goal: exact, exhaustive and certified results

- Replace or supplement numeric calculations with symbolic manipulations
- Difficulty: intrinsic complexity of the objects being computed

Examples:

- NP-complete problem over finite fields
- Bézout bound: number of solutions exponential (product of the degrees)
- ► Worst case: doubly exponential space complexity [Mayr, Meyer 1984]
- For generic system, singly exponential bounds (time and space)

In practice, systems from applications are...

- ... not generic
- ... not instances of the worst case complexity

Key question: identify underlying structures to recover the generic complexity

## An example: algebraic classification for magnetic resonance imagery

(With B. Bonnard, J.-C. Faugère, A. Jacquemard and M. Safey El Din)

- Context: Magnetic Resonance Imagery
- Goal: optimize contrast



Bad contrast

Optimized

Optimal control approach: the Bloch model

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{dt} y_i = -\Gamma_i y_i - u z_i \\ \frac{d}{dt} z_i = -\gamma_i (1 - z_i) + u y_i \\ \frac{d}{dt} z_i = -\gamma_i (1 - z_i) + u z_i \\ \frac{d}{dt}$$

## Semi-algebraic classification problem for MRI

Problem: classification of optimal trajectories

- Control of a single particle: done
- For two particles: more complicated
- Classify some algebraic invariants instead
- Used for choosing simulations to run

#### Example of algebraic invariant:

- Linked to equilibrium points
- Equations:

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ D = \frac{\partial D}{\partial y_1} = \frac{\partial D}{\partial z_1} = \frac{\partial D}{\partial y_2} = \frac{\partial D}{\partial z_2} = 0 \right\}$$

- D: determinant of 4 vector fields
- Inequalities:  $\mathcal{B} = \left\{ y_i^2 + z_i^2 \le 1 \right\}$
- Classification question: real points of V ∩ B depending on γ<sub>i</sub>, Γ<sub>i</sub>





## Results for the MRI classification problem

#### State of the art:

- Existing tools can't solve the problem efficiently
- ▶ 1000s on the case of water (easier:  $\gamma_1 = \Gamma_1 = 1$ ), full problem out of reach
- Complicated output for further steps

#### **Results**:

- Dedicated algorithm exploiting the structure of the system (determinants of matrices)
- Implemented in Maple
- Used to give full classification to the application
- 10s on the case of water, 4h on the full problem

#### Tools:

- ▶ Real geometry: Whitney stratification, Thom's isotopy theorem, critical points
- Algebra: determinantal ideals, incidence varieties
- Computer Algebra: polynomial elimination









## Main computer algebra building block : polynomial elimination

#### Polynomial elimination:

- Given an ideal  $I \subset K[X_1, \ldots, X_n, G_1, \ldots, G_r]$
- Compute a basis of  $I_G = I \cap K[G_1, \ldots, G_r]$

#### Computing eliminations allows to ...

- ... compute projections of varieties
- ... solve if finitely many solutions (by iterating)
- ... compute unions and differences of varieties (by lifting)

Many tools: resultants, triangular sets, Gröbner bases

#### Polynomial elimination:

- Given an ideal  $I \subset K[X_1, \ldots, X_n, G_1, \ldots, G_r]$
- Compute a basis of  $I_G = I \cap K[G_1, \ldots, G_r]$

#### Computing eliminations allows to ...

- ... compute projections of varieties
- ... solve if finitely many solutions (by iterating)
- ... compute unions and differences of varieties (by lifting)

Many tools: resultants, triangular sets, Gröbner bases

 $\begin{cases} 2X_1^2G_1 - 3X_2^2G_2 - 3G_2^2 \\ X_1G_1 + 2X_2G_2 \\ X_1X_2 + 4G_1G_2 - 8G_2^2 \end{cases} \longrightarrow \begin{cases} X_1X_2 + 4G_1G_2 - 8G_2^2 \\ 32X_1G_2^3 + 3X_1G_2^2 - 12X_2G_1G_2^2 + 56X_2G_2^3 \\ 3X_2^2G_2 - 16G_1G_2^2 + 32G_2^3 + 3G_2^2 \\ 6G_1^2G_2 - 28G_1G_2^2 + 32G_2^3 + 3G_2^2 \end{cases}$ 



Real geometry

#### Gröbner bases

Crypto., physics...

Weighted homogeneous









## Tool for *e.g.* cryptography: weighted homogeneous systems (With J.C. Faugère and M. Safey El Din)

Example: system from the discrete logarithm problem [Faugère, Gaudry, Huot, Renault, 2013]

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} 41518\\ 33900\\ 8840\\ 22855\\ 29081 \end{bmatrix} X_{5}^{16} + \begin{bmatrix} 49874\\ 34252\\ 24932\\ 11782 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{8} + \begin{bmatrix} 45709\\ 10698\\ 45336\\ 26076\\ 55993 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{7}X_{2} + \begin{bmatrix} 46659\\ 5976\\ 38267\\ 27683 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{6}X_{2}^{2} + \begin{bmatrix} 32367\\ 23164\\ 64912\\ 29095 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{5}X_{2}^{3} + \begin{bmatrix} 37627\\ 25182\\ 64922\\ 2095 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{4}X_{2}^{4} + \begin{bmatrix} 37627\\ 25182\\ 64922\\ 1080 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{4}X_{2}^{4} + \begin{bmatrix} 46659\\ 83267\\ 8476\\ 28698\\ 5708\\ 5708\\ 5708\\ 5778\\ 5778\\ 57795\\ 5778\\ 57795\\ 5778\\ 57795\\ 5778\\ 57$$

## Tool for *e.g.* cryptography: weighted homogeneous systems (With J.C. Faugère and M. Safey El Din)

Example: system from the discrete logarithm problem [Faugère, Gaudry, Huot, Renault, 2013]

$$0 = \begin{bmatrix} 41518\\ 33900\\ 8840\\ 22855\\ 29081 \end{bmatrix} X_{5}^{16} + \begin{bmatrix} 49874\\ 34252\\ 24932\\ 11782 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{8} + \begin{bmatrix} 45709\\ 10698\\ 25076\\ 55993 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{7}X_{2} + \begin{bmatrix} 46659\\ 59796\\ 38267\\ 27683 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{6}X_{2}^{2} + \begin{bmatrix} 32367\\ 23164\\ 64111\\ 163692\\ 29095 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{5}X_{2}^{3} + \begin{bmatrix} 37627\\ 25182\\ 59951\\ 60422\\ 11080 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{4}X_{2}^{4} + \begin{bmatrix} 27200\\ 38476\\ 28698\\ 47718 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{3}X_{2}^{5} + \begin{bmatrix} 64271\\ 43542\\ 57950\\ 5276\\ 9739 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}^{2}X_{2}^{6} + \begin{bmatrix} 49159\\ 11328\\ 33520\\ 65799\\ 27178 \end{bmatrix} X_{1}X_{2}^{7} + \begin{bmatrix} 59456\\ 49518\\ 46071\\ 49716\\ 33760 \end{bmatrix} X_{2}^{8} + 2069 \text{ terms}$$

$$5 \text{ equations} 5 \text{ unknowns} Degree 16$$

#### "Default" strategy:

- Irregular behavior
- Long calculation
- No complexity estimates

#### Degree of the polynomials at each step



### Tool for *e.g.* cryptography: weighted homogeneous systems (With J.C. Faugère and M. Safey El Din)

Example: system from the discrete logarithm problem [Faugère, Gaudry, Huot, Renault, 2013]



### "Default" strategy:

- Irregular behavior
- Long calculation
- No complexity estimates
- With weights:

= Subst.  $X_i \leftarrow X_i^2$   $(i = 1 \dots 4)$ :

- Regular behavior
- Faster calculation



#### Degree of the polynomials at each step

Results:

- Full algorithmic strategy taking advantage of generic regularity properties
- Full understanding of the graduation (syzygy module, Hilbert series)
- Characterization of generic properties (regularity, semi-regularity, Noether position)
- Complexity bounds divided by  $(\prod w_i)^3$
- Can be used by any existing implementation without computational cost

Future work:

- Automatic detection of the best system of weights
- More general structures allowing the weights to be 0 (elimination)...
- ... or < 0 (variables with local ordering, saturation)</li>
- Multi-graduation: weighted homogeneous for several systems of weights (physics)

## Tool for number theory: modern algorithms for Gröbner bases over rings (With M. Francis)

- Applications:
  - Number theory [Lichtblau, 2011]
  - Lattice-based cryptography [Francis, Dukkipati 2016]
  - Computation in finitely-presented groups [Sims, 1994]



- Algorithms developed in the late 80's and early 90's
- Impossible to mitigate coefficient growth with modular methods
- Many usual criteria when coefficients are in a field become more complicated over rings
- ▶ Recent surge of interest with focus on Z and Euclidean rings (Lichtblau, Eder, Popescu...)

#### Question:

Signatures: technique for recovering and exploiting info. on the module of syzygies

[Faugère, 2002]

- ► Is it possible to compute Gröbner bases with signatures over ℤ?
- State of the art: No, impossible [Eder, Popescu 2017]

#### **Results**:

- New answer: Yes, with another definition!
- Proof of concept of two algorithms working over any principal ring
- Prototype implementation of the algorithms in Magma

#### Future work:

- Complete analysis of existing algorithms and criteria to identify what is or not possible
- Complexity analyses
- Competitive implementation of the algorithms

## Tool for algebraic geometry: Gröbner bases over Tate algebras (With X. Caruso and T. Vaccon)

- ► Tate series = convergent series over a complete valued ring (*e.g.* Z<sub>p</sub> or Q<sub>p</sub>) ⇔ the valuation of the coefficients goes to infinity
- Introduced by Tate in 1971 for rigid geometry (p-adic equivalent of the bridge between algebraic and analytic geometry over C)
- No existing implementation of arithmetic or ideal operations



## Tate algebras: results and future work

#### Features of those systems:

- In the valued case, there is no difference between ring and field
- Main difficulty: in Tate series, we need to order terms (with coefficients)...
- ... in a mixed ordering: pX < 1 < X

#### **Results**:

- Definitions and algorithms for Gröbner bases over Tate algebras
- Implementation of arithmetic and Gröbner basis algorithms in Sage (included in Sage since version 8.5 [2019])
- Signature-based algorithms over Tate algebras

#### Future work:

- More efficient algorithms for reductions
- More optimized implementation





#### Complexity and strategy for a system with finitely many solutions:



#### Complexity and strategy for a system with finitely many solutions:



What about polynomial elimination?



#### Complexity and strategy for a system with finitely many solutions:



What about polynomial elimination?



#### Complexity and strategy for a system with finitely many solutions:



What about polynomial elimination?









## Thank you for your attention!

- Robotic arm p. 2: public domain, via Wikimedia Commons
- Credit cards p. 2: Lotus Heads via Wikimedia Commons (CC-by SA 3.0)
- Hurricane model p. 2: NASA
- Mouse head MRI p.4:
  - Éric Van Reeth et al. (2016). 'Optimal Control Design of Preparation Pulses for Contrast Optimization in MRI'. In: Submitted IEEE transactions on medical imaging
- Optimal trajectories of a single spin p.5:
  - Bernard Bonnard et al. (2020). 'Time minimal saturation of a pair of spins and application in Magnetic Resonance Imaging'. In: Mathematical Control & Related Fields 10.1, 47–88. ISSN: 2156-8499. DOI: 10.3934/mcrf.2019029. URL: https://www.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01764022